



CONVIVIUM

EXCHANGES AND INTERACTIONS IN THE ARTS OF MEDIEVAL EUROPE,
BYZANTIUM, AND THE MEDITERRANEAN
SEMINARIUM KONDAKOVIANUM SERIES NOVA

EVALUATION FORM

* * *

By agreeing to fill in the present Referee Form, you undertake to do so as objectively as possible and to formulate your observations clearly with supporting arguments. In case you do not feel to be sufficiently qualified to review the manuscript in consideration or you might have any kind of conflicting interests with the research proposed, please inform the responsible editor and excuse yourself from the review process. For general referee guidelines and Convivium publication ethics, please see our [webpage](#).

* * *

Name:

Institution:

E-mail:

Considered manuscript title:

A. Questionnaire

1. What is the aim of the article proposed?

2. Is the topic suitable with the aims and scope of *Convivium*? If you are not familiar with them, please see our [webpage](#) or contact the responsible editor.

- Absolutely not
- Barely
- Sufficiently
- Yes

Specific remarks:

3. Does the manuscript provide original and innovative interpretations from a broad and interdisciplinary viewpoint? Is the approach used relevant for the researched topic?

- Absolutely not
- Barely
- Sufficiently
- Yes

Specific remarks:

4. Does the text propose coherent and thoroughly developed arguments, even in case you personally do not share them?

- Absolutely not
- Barely
- Sufficiently
- Yes

Specific remarks:

5. Does the text reveal a thorough knowledge of earlier bibliography and take into account the historical background of the terms employed? Does it consider different viewpoints, even in case these should oppose the one expressed by the author? Are all the observations or arguments derived from other publications accompanied by the respective source? Is the manuscript up-to-date regarding the most recent scientific debate?

- Absolutely not
- Barely
- Sufficiently
- Yes

Specific remarks:

6. Is the text correct on grammatical and syntactical grounds? Is the prose fluid and easily understandable?

- Absolutely not
- Barely
- Sufficiently
- Yes

Specific remarks:

7. Does the manuscript bear any substantial similarity or overlap with regard to any other paper of which you have personal knowledge?

- Yes
- No

Specific remarks:

B. Referee's Remarks

Here you may make further comments on the content and/or form of the article, explain your judgment and/or describe which changes you consider necessary prior to the publication:

C. Final Judgment

Your recommendation is:

- To accept the manuscript for publication in its present form or only with minor changes.
- To publish the manuscript provided that it is revised according to the referee's suggestions.
- To consider a thorough revision of the manuscript, which should be thereafter submitted to a second double-blind peer review procedure.
- Not to publish the considered manuscript.